



## REVIEW

# Assessment of bariatric surgery efficacy on Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA)



M. Quintas-Neves<sup>a,\*</sup>, J. Preto<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Drummond<sup>a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

<sup>b</sup> Surgery Department of São João Medical Center, Porto, Portugal

<sup>c</sup> Pulmonology Department of São João Medical Center, Porto, Portugal

Received 31 July 2015; accepted 6 May 2016

Available online 20 June 2016

### KEYWORDS

Bariatric surgery;  
Obesity;  
Sleep apnea;  
Apnea–hypopnea  
index;  
Body mass index

**Abstract** A worldwide rise in weight and obesity is taking place, associated with an increase in several comorbid conditions, such as Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA). Bariatric surgery is an effective treatment approach for obesity, with resultant improvement in obesity-related comorbidities. However, the relationship between this type of treatment and OSA is not well established. This systematic review aims to assess and characterize the impact that different types of bariatric surgery have on obese OSA patients. 22 articles with stated preoperative apnea–hypopnea index (AHI), apnea index (AI) or respiratory disturbance index (RDI) were analyzed in this review. A significant improvement in AHI/AI/RDI occurred after surgery, in addition to the foreseeable reduction in body mass index (BMI). Moreover, almost every study stated a postoperative reduction of the AHI to < 20/h and/or a >50% postoperative reduction of AHI, with few exceptions. The interventions with a combined malabsorptive and restrictive mechanism, like roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB), were more efficacious in resolving and improving OSA than purely restrictive ones, like laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding (LAGB).

In conclusion, bariatric surgery has a significant effect on OSA, leading to its resolution or improvement, in the majority of cases, at least in the short/medium term (1–2 years). However, the different results must be interpreted with caution as there are many potential biases resulting from heterogeneous inclusion criteria, duration of follow-up, diagnostic methodology and assessed variables.

© 2016 Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Introduction

Globally, increased weight and obesity are rising in both the developing and developed world.<sup>1</sup> Data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES) collected between 2011 and 2012 suggest that 35% of adults

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [mlqneves@gmail.com](mailto:mlqneves@gmail.com) (M. Quintas-Neves), [jrpreto@gmail.com](mailto:jrpreto@gmail.com) (J. Preto), [marta.drummond@gmail.com](mailto:marta.drummond@gmail.com) (M. Drummond).

are obese,<sup>2</sup> and the rates of this disease throughout the world have increased dramatically over the past 40 years and continue to rise in many countries.<sup>3</sup> Compared with normal-weight people, obese individuals are responsible for 46% higher inpatient costs, 27% more outpatient visits, and 80% higher spending on prescription medications.<sup>2</sup>

Obesity is a complex, multifactorial disease that is strongly associated with multiple comorbidities, such as certain types of cancer, cardiovascular disease, disability, diabetes mellitus, gallbladder disease, hypertension, osteoarthritis, sleep apnea and stroke.<sup>2</sup>

Body mass index (BMI) has been one of the most widely used measures for determining the prevalence of obesity, due to its accuracy in the assessment of body composition, with a high correlation with body fat percentage.<sup>4</sup> Obesity is classified as a BMI  $\geq 30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, with subsequent sub-classification as follows: severe obesity (BMI  $\geq 35$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>), morbid obesity (BMI  $\geq 40$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and super obesity (BMI  $\geq 50$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>).<sup>4</sup> Bariatric (weight loss) surgery is generally considered for patients with a BMI  $\geq 40$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> or for those with a BMI  $\geq 35$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> with at least 1 serious weight-related comorbid condition, such as type 2 diabetes, Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA), or disabling joint disease.<sup>3</sup> Laparoscopic gastric banding is also FDA-approved for patients with a BMI  $\geq 30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> and type 2 diabetes.<sup>3</sup> Before having surgery, patients should have made sustained attempts at weight loss with lifestyle modification and/or pharmacotherapy. It is currently standard of care for patients considering bariatric surgery to undergo preoperative psychological evaluation to determine whether surgery is appropriate, and they must also be informed of the potential risks of surgery and the need for long-term monitoring of their weight and nutritional status.<sup>3</sup>

The diagnosis of OSA is confirmed if the number of obstructive events on a polysomnographic study (apneas, hypopneas + respiratory event related arousals) is greater than 15 events/h or greater than 5/h in a patient who reports any of the following: unintentional sleep episodes during wakefulness; daytime sleepiness; unrefreshing sleep; fatigue; insomnia; waking up holding their breath; gasping, or choking; or the bed partner describing loud snoring, breathing interruptions, or both during the patient's sleep.<sup>5</sup> The frequency of obstructive events is reported as an apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) or respiratory disturbance index (RDI),<sup>5</sup> the main difference being the fact that the latter also takes into account the respiratory event related arousals. OSA severity is defined as mild for AHI or RDI  $\geq 5$  and  $< 15$ , moderate for AHI or RDI  $\geq 15$  and  $\leq 30$ , and severe for AHI or RDI  $> 30$ /h.<sup>5</sup>

Obesity is the most significant predisposing factor for OSA.<sup>6,7</sup> An elevation of 6 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in BMI leads to a four times greater risk of developing OSA.<sup>7</sup> Central obesity, characterized by fat distribution at the abdominal level, upper body and neck, is the one most associated with OSA.<sup>7</sup> Moreover, when compared with normal individuals, obese patients with OSA have 42% more fat in their neck, resulting in pharyngeal lumen narrowing and higher risk of OSA development.<sup>8</sup> Another possible pathophysiological mechanism that may explain OSA development in obese patients involves the hormones produced by adipocytes, such as Leptin, whose levels are known to be correlated with human obesity, in a condition known as Leptin resistance.<sup>7</sup> This hormone not only

has a key role on body-weight control, but also on respiratory center control.<sup>9</sup> Thus, increased Leptin levels in a Leptin resistance environment are possibly involved in the pathophysiology of sleep disorders.<sup>9,10</sup>

In reviewing the literature, this work aims to assess and characterize the impact that different types of bariatric surgery have on obese OSA patients, using AHI/AI(apnea index)/RDI variation.

## Methods

A comprehensive search in the "Natural Library of Medicine PubMed – Medline" was conducted, using the search terms: (bariatric surgery OR obesity surgery) AND sleep apnea, on title or abstract. The inclusion criteria were: studies that specifically assessed the relationship between bariatric surgery and sleep apnea and availability of information regarding AHI/AI/RDI variation with surgery. The exclusion criteria were: studies in a non English language, not conducted in humans and with unstated preoperative AHI/AI/RDI.

## Selected articles

1032 articles were retrieved from the initial search, of which 989 were rejected after reading the title and abstract, as they met exclusion criteria. From the remaining 43 articles, 21 were also rejected as they did not meet the inclusion criteria. Therefore, 22 articles were analyzed in this review.<sup>11–32</sup>

## Results

Table 1 is structured in order to systematically assess the effect of bariatric surgery on the severity of sleep apnea based on the different studies analyzed with stated preoperative AHI/AI/RDI. Moreover, the following information was also collected and organized: time of follow-up; loss to follow-up; BMI and AHI/AI/RDI variation; AHI/AI/RDI reduction after surgery; study design; and type of sleep questionnaire used for symptoms evaluation.

Average AHI/AI/RDI reduction was calculated for each bariatric procedure using the available data from the different studies (Fig. 1).

## Discussion

As previously stated,<sup>3</sup> bariatric surgery not only provides significant, fast and sustained weight loss, as it also provides optimal outcomes in the reduction of frequently associated co-morbidities, such as OSA. The impact of weight loss after bariatric surgery on obese patients with OSA has been assessed through several questionnaires which aim to characterize symptoms and variations in pressure levels used in Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP), and also OSA severity changes as seen on polysomnography. The success of surgery was defined as a postoperative reduction of the AHI to  $< 20$ /h and  $> 50\%$  reduction, in patients whose preoperative AHI was  $> 20$ /h.<sup>33</sup> Other authors later proposed tightening these criteria to a postoperative AHI  $< 15$

**Table 1** Effect of bariatric surgery on the severity of Obstructive sleep apnea.

Study	N	Type of surgery	Follow-up (months)	Loss to follow-up (%)	BMI		AHI/AI/RDI		AHI/AI/RDI reduction (%)	Study design	Questionnaire
					Before	After	Before	After			
Aguiar <sup>28</sup>	16	LAGB	3	0	48.15	36.91	15.65	6.26	60	RCT	ESS + BQ
Bae <sup>29</sup>	47	RYGB	13.9	79	39.9	26.9	51	9.3	82	P	ESS + PSQI
Busetto <sup>11</sup>	18	IB	6	6	55.8	48.6	59.3	14	76	P	ESS
Del Genio <sup>30</sup>	36	SG	60	0	51.3	32.1	32.8	5.8	82	P	ESS
Dixon <sup>12</sup>	26	LAGB	24	20	46.3	36.6	65	39.5	39	RCT	ESS
Feigel-Guiller <sup>31</sup>	30	LAGB	12	13	48.8	41.5	56.5	31.5	44	RCT	††
Feigel-Guiller <sup>31</sup>	30	LAGB	36	27	48.8	42.2	56.5	40.7	28	RCT	††
Fritscher <sup>13</sup>	18	RYGB	24.2	33	51.5	34.1	46.5	16	66	P	ESS
Guardiano <sup>14</sup>	34	RYGB	28	76	49	34	55**	14**	75	R	-
Haines <sup>15</sup>	289	RYGB	11	65	56	38	51**	15**	71	P	ESS
Kalra <sup>16</sup>	19	RYGB	5.1	47	60.8	41.6	9.1	0.65	93	R	-
Lettieri <sup>17</sup>	25	LAGB	12	4	51.0	32.1	47.9	24.5	49	P	ESS
Marti-Valeri <sup>18</sup>	14	RYGB	12	0	56.5	32.1	63.6**	17.5**	72	P	-
Peiser <sup>19</sup>	15	RYGB	3	0	142*	106*	82***	15***	82	P	TSQ
Peiser <sup>19</sup>	15	RYGB	6	60	142*	97*	82***	5.5***	93	P	TSQ
Pillar <sup>20</sup>	14	RYGB and VBG	4.5	0	45	33	40***	11***	73	P	-
Pillar <sup>20</sup>	14	RYGB and VBG	90	0	45	35	40***	24***	40	P	-
Poitou <sup>21</sup>	35	RYGB and LAGB	12.7	0	51.3	39.9	24.5	9.7	60	P	††
Rao <sup>22</sup>	228	LAGB	12.6	80†	45.2	30	38.11	13.18	65	PR	ESS
Rasheid <sup>23</sup>	87	GBP	21	87	62	40	56**	23**	59	P	ESS
Ravesloot <sup>24</sup>	171	LAGB, GBP and SG	7.7	36	45.4	36.3	39.5	15.6	61	P	ESS
Ravesloot <sup>24</sup>	171	LAGB, GBP and SG	16.9	71	45	35	49.1	17.4	65	P	ESS
Scheuller <sup>25</sup>	15	GBP and VBG	12-144	0	160*	105*	96.9**	11.3**	88	P	-
Sugerman <sup>26</sup>	110	GBP and HG	12	43	56	37	64	33	48	P	-
Sugerman <sup>26</sup>	110	GBP and HG	54	48	56	38	64	32	50	P	-
Valencia-Flores <sup>27</sup>	28	RYGB and VBG	13.7	0	54.9	39.2	71.9	27.1	62	P	-
Zou <sup>32</sup>	54	RYGB	9.7	19	31.1	24.4	22.4	7.1	68	P	ESS

GBP – gastric bypass; VBG – vertical gastropasty with band or ring; HG – gastropasty; IB – intragastric balloon; LAGB – laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding; RYGB – roux-en-Y gastric bypass; SG – sleeve gastrectomy; BMI – body mass index (kg/m<sup>2</sup>); AHI – apnea-hypopnea index; ESS – Epworth Sleeping Scale; BQ – Berlin Questionnaire; PSQI – Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; TSQ – Technion Sleep Questionnaire; P – prospective non randomized (case series); RCT – randomized controlled trial; R – retrospective; PR – prospective randomized.


\* Weight in kilograms.

\*\* Respiratory disturbance index.

\*\*\* Apnea index.

† A limited number of patients were randomly selected to be evaluated postoperatively.

†† Used a non specified questionnaire; All studies showed statistically significant associations ( $p < 0.05$ ), except the Scheuller et al.<sup>25</sup> study; The majority of studies used full type 1 Polysomnography, except the Busetto et al.<sup>11</sup> and the Poitou et al.<sup>11</sup> that used cardiorespiratory sleep studies. The Ravesloot et al.<sup>11</sup> study used type 1 and type 2 Polysomnography and the Sugerman et al.<sup>11</sup> study used type 1 Polysomnography and sleep capneography; N represents the total number of patients with OSA in the beginning of the study, who underwent a bariatric procedure.



**Figure 1** Percentage of AHI/AI/RDI reduction in each type of bariatric procedure during the following average follow-up periods: IB – intragastric balloon (6 months); Several – more than one procedure ( $52 \pm 39$  months); LAGB – laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding ( $17 \pm 13$  months); RYGB – roux-en-Y gastric bypass ( $12 \pm 10$  months); SG – sleeve gastrectomy (60 months); GBP – gastric bypass (21 months).

(regarded as “clinically relevant” OSA),  $<10$ , and recently even  $<5$ .<sup>34</sup> One study has considered “response rate” as a reduction of the AHI between 20% and 50%,<sup>35</sup> and another study<sup>36</sup> has stated that a goal of a mean AHI  $\leq 5$ , for both surgery and CPAP therapy, is rarely achievable. Therefore, the first mentioned criteria were considered in the present review.

In almost all studies in Table 1, a statistically significant improvement in AHI occurred after surgery, in addition to the foreseeable reduction in BMI, with the exception of the Scheuller et al. study,<sup>25</sup> that did not include a ‘p’ value. However, there was a reduction in both AHI and BMI after surgery. Almost every study from this table was conducted in patients with a preoperative AHI  $>20$ /h, with the exception of the Aguiar et al.<sup>28</sup> and the Kalra et al.<sup>16</sup> studies. In the latter, this finding may be explained by the fact that subjects from this study were adolescents with milder forms of the disease. In the former, the fact that the majority of patients were women may justify the lower mean AHI of the group. Almost every study from this table stated a postoperative reduction of the AHI to  $<20$ /h, the exceptions being the Dixon et al.,<sup>12</sup> Feigel-Guiller et al.,<sup>31</sup> Lettieri et al.,<sup>17</sup> Pillar et al.,<sup>20</sup> Rasheid et al.<sup>23</sup> and Sugerman et al.<sup>26</sup> studies. The  $>50\%$  postoperative reduction of AHI was achieved in almost all studies in Table 1, with the exceptions of the Dixon et al.,<sup>12</sup> Feigel-Guiller et al.,<sup>31</sup> Lettieri et al.,<sup>17</sup> Sugerman et al.<sup>26</sup> (50% reduction) and Pillar et al.<sup>20</sup> studies. In the latter, a significant decrease in AHI from 40/h to 11/h was observed at 4.5 months, followed by a twofold increase to 24/h at 7.5 years, this alteration being independent of BMI variation. Likewise, in the Feigel-Guiller et al.<sup>31</sup> study a significant decrease in AHI from 56.5/h to 31.5/h was observed at 12 months, followed by an increase to 40.7/h at 3 years. As a previous systematic review<sup>37</sup> stated this may be due to the fact that OSA possibly relapses in the years following surgery, probably attributable to causes other than simply weight gain. Conversely, the longer the period of follow-up, the greater the probability of OSA relapse.<sup>37</sup> However,

a more recent study<sup>38</sup> has shown that these results can be maintained in longer term follow-up. The author concluded that the reduction in intra-abdominal pressure due to excess weight loss following surgery leads to a clinically significant improvement in blood oxygenation, resulting in favorable effects on the cerebral respiratory center.<sup>39</sup> Therefore, the results are conflicting but the majority suggests that weight loss persists in short/medium term (1–2 years) and there is a higher probability of OSA relapse after that period. More prospective studies with longer time of follow-up are needed.

The efficacy of the different types of bariatric surgery on improving sleep apnea (AHI/AI/RDI reduction) is represented in Fig. 1. The results obtained with intragastric balloon (IB), sleeve gastrectomy (SG) and gastric bypass (GBP) must be interpreted with caution, as only one study for each one of these techniques was considered. The intervention with a combined malabsorptive and restrictive mechanism, roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB), was more efficacious in improving sleep apnea (higher AHI/AI/RDI reduction) than the purely restrictive one, laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding (LAGB), which simply reduces oral intake. These differences in efficacy have already been described in other studies<sup>37,39</sup> and can potentially be explained by the two main factors that contribute to the improvement in OSA following bariatric surgery: weight-dependent effects (decreased mechanical force on the cervical region, upper airway and diaphragm) and weight-independent metabolic effects.<sup>39</sup> It is possible to summarize these metabolic effects as the acronym BRAVE: bile flow alteration, restriction of gastric size, anatomical gut rearrangement and altered flow of nutrients, vagal manipulation and enteric gut hormone modulation.<sup>39</sup> Another recent study<sup>40</sup> stated a correlation between obesity/sleep apnea and systemic inflammation. Furthermore, malabsorptive bariatric techniques reduce several inflammatory biomarkers leading to a protective anti-inflammatory state.<sup>40</sup> The most significant and well correlated with sleep apnea biomarker has been shown to be soluble TNF- $\alpha$  receptor 2.<sup>40</sup> Moreover, it also seems that a decline in central adiposity and in the production of adipokines that act on the central nervous system, like Leptin, may lead to increased neuromuscular control of pharyngeal diameter.<sup>37</sup>

Therefore, it makes sense that the studies that did not achieve the considered AHI criteria for a successful surgery (Dixon et al.,<sup>12</sup> Feigel-Guiller et al.,<sup>31</sup> Lettieri et al.<sup>17</sup>) used a purely restrictive technique (LAGB).

These reports, however, should be interpreted with caution, as there are some limitations that must be considered. The different time of follow-up between studies is one of the most significant and important issues to take into account. As previously stated, the positive results reported in studies with shorter durations, may be influenced by the simultaneous short-term behavioral changes, such as an increase in exercise and a healthy diet. On the other hand, these same shorter term studies could have had the potential to produce a sustained weight loss and improvement/resolution on OSA (AHI/AI/RDI reduction) that was underestimated. Another important matter is that 15 of the 22 studies that were assessed were from series with loss to follow-up (Table 1) of several patients after surgery, which could have led to biases as the patients with the greatest improvement/results did

not drop out, occurring the opposite to the other group of patients. Furthermore, the different patient's preoperative mean BMI and mean AHI considered (Table 1) makes the results very hard to compare and extrapolate between different studies. These values were heterogeneous in the severity of obesity, as well as in the presence and severity of OSA. Moreover, another potential bias arises from the heterogeneous male predominance present in the majority of the analyzed studies, making the extrapolation to the general sleep apnea patients less accurate.

The difference in the study designs used (Table 1) makes the comparison between the analyzed studies more difficult. Moreover, most of them used a full type 1 polysomnography to diagnose sleep apnea but only half of them used the ESS questionnaire to evaluate symptoms, also contributing to a possible bias in the studies comparison.

Finally, generalization of the results to the entire population of patients with OSA and obesity must be done with extreme caution, as in the majority of these studies the leading medical condition was obesity and not sleep apnea. Thereby, the extrapolation to patients whose main medical condition is sleep apnea may be biased.

In summary, bariatric surgery has a significant effect on OSA, leading to resolution or improvement, in the majority of cases, at least in the short/medium term (1–2 years). The combined malabsorptive and restrictive surgical techniques, such as RYGB, seem to be the most efficacious in resolving and improving sleep apnea. The different results must be interpreted with caution as there are many potential biases resulting from heterogeneous inclusion criteria, duration of follow-up, diagnostic methodology and assessed variables. More randomized, controlled trials with homogeneous inclusion criteria, diagnostic methodology and duration of follow-up are needed, in order to assertively confirm the advantageous effects of bariatric surgery on OSA.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

## References

- Haidar YM, Cosman BC. Obesity epidemiology. *Clin Colon Rectal Surg.* 2011;24:205–10.
- Smith KB, Smith MS. Obesity statistics. *Prim Care.* 2016;43:121–35.
- Tsai AG, Wadden TA. In the clinic: obesity. *Ann Intern Med.* 2013;159. ITC3-1–ITC3-15, quiz ITC3-6.
- Switzer NJ, Mangat HS, Karmali S. Current trends in obesity: body composition assessment, weight regulation, and emerging techniques in managing severe obesity. *J Interv Gastroenterol.* 2013;3:34–6.
- Epstein LJ, Kristo D, Strollo PJ Jr, Friedman N, Malhotra A, Patel SP, et al. Clinical guideline for the evaluation, management and long-term care of obstructive sleep apnea in adults. *J Clin Sleep Med.* 2009;5:263–76.
- Pillar G, Shehadeh N. Abdominal fat and sleep apnea: the chicken or the egg? *Diabetes Care.* 2008;31 Suppl. 2:S303–9.
- de Sousa AG, Cercato C, Mancini MC, Halpern A. Obesity and obstructive sleep apnea–hypopnea syndrome. *Obes Rev.* 2008;9:340–54.
- Mortimore IL, Marshall I, Wraith PK, Sellar RJ, Douglas NJ. Neck and total body fat deposition in nonobese and obese patients with sleep apnea compared with that in control subjects. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 1998;157:280–3.
- Patel SR. Shared genetic risk factors for obstructive sleep apnea and obesity. *J Appl Physiol* (1985). 2005;99:1600–6.
- Romero-Corral A, Caples SM, Lopez-Jimenez F, Somers VK. Interactions between obesity and obstructive sleep apnea: implications for treatment. *Chest.* 2010;137:711–9.
- Busetto L, Enzi G, Inelmen EM, Costa G, Negrin V, Sergi G, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in morbid obesity: effects of intragastric balloon. *Chest.* 2005;128:618–23.
- Dixon JB, Schachter LM, O'Brien PE, Jones K, Grima M, Lambert G, et al. Surgical vs conventional therapy for weight loss treatment of obstructive sleep apnea: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2012;308:1142–9.
- Fritscher LG, Canani S, Mottin CC, Fritscher CC, Berleze D, Chapman K, et al. Bariatric surgery in the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea in morbidly obese patients. *Respiration.* 2007;74:647–52.
- Guardiano SA, Scott JA, Ware JC, Schechner SA. The long-term results of gastric bypass on indexes of sleep apnea. *Chest.* 2003;124:1615–9.
- Haines KL, Nelson LG, Gonzalez R, Torrella T, Martin T, Kandil A, et al. Objective evidence that bariatric surgery improves obesity-related obstructive sleep apnea. *Surgery.* 2007;141:354–8.
- Kalra M, Inge T, Garcia V, Daniels S, Lawson L, Curti R, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea in extremely overweight adolescents undergoing bariatric surgery. *Obes Res.* 2005;13:1175–9.
- Lettieri CJ, Eliasson AH, Greenburg DL. Persistence of obstructive sleep apnea after surgical weight loss. *J Clin Sleep Med.* 2008;4:333–8.
- Marti-Valeri C, Sabate A, Masdevall C, Dalmau A. Improvement of associated respiratory problems in morbidly obese patients after open Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. *Obes Surg.* 2007;17:1102–10.
- Peiser J, Lavie P, Ovnat A, Charuzi I. Sleep apnea syndrome in the morbidly obese as an indication for weight reduction surgery. *Ann Surg.* 1984;199:112–5.
- Pillar G, Peled R, Lavie P. Recurrence of sleep apnea without concomitant weight increase 7.5 years after weight reduction surgery. *Chest.* 1994;106:1702–4.
- Poitou C, Coupaye M, Laaban JP, Coussieu C, Bedel JF, Bouillot JL, et al. Serum amyloid A and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome before and after surgically-induced weight loss in morbidly obese subjects. *Obes Surg.* 2006;16:1475–81.
- Rao A, Tey BH, Ramalingam G, Poh AG. Obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA) patterns in bariatric surgical practice and response of OSA to weight loss after laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding (LAGB). *Ann Acad Med Singap.* 2009;38:587–97.
- Rasheid S, Banasiak M, Gallagher SF, Lipska A, Kaba S, Ventimiglia D, et al. Gastric bypass is an effective treatment for obstructive sleep apnea in patients with clinically significant obesity. *Obes Surg.* 2003;13:58–61.
- Ravesloot MJ, Hilgevoord AA, van Wagenveld BA, de Vries N. Assessment of the effect of bariatric surgery on obstructive sleep apnea at two postoperative intervals. *Obes Surg.* 2013.
- Scheuller M, Weider D. Bariatric surgery for treatment of sleep apnea syndrome in 15 morbidly obese patients: long-term results. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2001;125:299–302.
- Sugerman HJ, Fairman RP, Sood RK, Engle K, Wolfe L, Kellum JM. Long-term effects of gastric surgery for treating respiratory insufficiency of obesity. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1992;55 2 Suppl.:597S–601S.
- Valencia-Flores M, Orea A, Herrera M, Santiago V, Rebollar V, Castano VA, et al. Effect of bariatric surgery on obstructive sleep apnea and hypopnea syndrome, electrocardiogram, and pulmonary arterial pressure. *Obes Surg.* 2004;14:755–62.

28. Aguiar IC, Freitas WR Jr, Santos IR, Apostolico N, Nacif SR, Urbano JJ, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea and pulmonary function in patients with severe obesity before and after bariatric surgery: a randomized clinical trial. *Multidiscip Respir Med*. 2014;9:43.
29. Bae EK, Lee YJ, Yun CH, Heo Y. Effects of surgical weight loss for treating obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep Breath*. 2014;18:901–5.
30. Del Genio G, Limongelli P, Del Genio F, Motta G, Docimo L, Testa D. Sleeve gastrectomy improves obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS): 5 year longitudinal study. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2016;12:70–4.
31. Feigel-Guiller B, Druil D, Dimet J, Zair Y, Le Bras M, Fuertes-Zamorano N, et al. Laparoscopic gastric banding in obese patients with sleep apnea: a 3-year controlled study and follow-up after 10 years. *Obes Surg*. 2015;25:1886–92.
32. Zou J, Zhang P, Yu H, Di J, Han X, Yin S, et al. Effect of laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery on obstructive sleep apnea in a Chinese population with obesity and T2DM. *Obes Surg*. 2015;25:1446–53.
33. Sher AE, Schechtman KB, Piccirillo JF. The efficacy of surgical modifications of the upper airway in adults with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Sleep*. 1996;19:156–77.
34. Elshaug AG, Moss JR, Southcott AM, Hiller JE. Redefining success in airway surgery for obstructive sleep apnea: a meta analysis and synthesis of the evidence. *Sleep*. 2007;30:461–7.
35. Richard W, Kox D, den Herder C, van Tinteren H, de Vries N. One stage multilevel surgery (uvulopalatopharyngoplasty, hyoid suspension, radiofrequent ablation of the tongue base with/without genioglossus advancement), in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. 2007;264:439–44.
36. Ravesloot MJ, de Vries N. Reliable calculation of the efficacy of non-surgical and surgical treatment of obstructive sleep apnea revisited. *Sleep*. 2011;34:105–10.
37. Cowan DC, Livingston E. Obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome and weight loss: review. *Sleep Disord*. 2012;2012:163296.
38. Obeid A, Long J, Kakade M, Clements RH, Stahl R, Grams J. Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: long term clinical outcomes. *Surg Endosc*. 2012;26:3515–20.
39. Sarkhosh K, Switzer NJ, El-Hadi M, Birch DW, Shi X, Karmali S. The impact of bariatric surgery on obstructive sleep apnea: a systematic review. *Obes Surg*. 2013;23:414–23.
40. Pallayova M, Steele KE, Magnuson TH, Schweitzer MA, Smith PL, Patil SP, et al. Sleep apnea determines soluble TNF-alpha receptor 2 response to massive weight loss. *Obes Surg*. 2011;21:1413–23.